LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

71 - 768

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
DEC 10 1971
E. ROBERT SEAVER, CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX: PETITIONERS:

VS.

DR. DWIGHT DIL ET AL: RESPONDENTS:

APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

FROM THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS, FIFTH CIRCUIT, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA. CASE NO. S - 71-2448.

ROSEMARY WILLIAMS
1608 GARDENSIDE DR.
DALLAS, TEXAS 75217

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS:

INDEX

	PAGE
INDEX OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii-iii-iv.
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	-1.-
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION.....	-5.-
POINTS OF ERROR.....	-6.-
ARGUMENT.....	-8.-
CONCLUSION.....	-13.-
CERTIFICATE.....	-14.-

ADDENDUM

AFFIDAVIT.....	1.-2.-3.
COPY PATENT MAP.....	4.
CERTIFIED MAP, REGISTERED SURVEYOR FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS, STATES 295 VARAS-NORTH. (INCORRECT.)	5.
CERTIFIED MAP, REGISTERED SURVEYOR FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS, STATES 395 VARAS NORTH. (CORRECT).....	6.

INDEX OF AUTHORITIES

PAGE

BISSELL VS. BRIGGS
9 MASS. 462, AT PAGE 468..... 8.

BROWN VS. CHRISTMAN
SUPRA, 126, F. 2D. AT PAGE 632..... 9.

MALLOW VS. HINDE 1827
12 WHEAT 193,198,25, U.S.
193, 6 D. ED. 599..... 10.

SHIELDS VS. BARROW 1855
17 HOW. 129,141, 58, U.S.
129,130, 15 L. ED. 158..... 10.

STATE OF WASHINGTON VS. UNITED STATES
SUPRA, 87, F. 2 D. AT PAGE 427..... 10.

STEWART VS. UNITED STATES
5 th. CIR., 1957, 242 F. 2 D. 49,51..... 8.

3 MOORE FEDERAL PRACTICE
(2D. ED. 1948) 19.05 RULE 12 (H.)..... 9.

TEXAS CONSTITUTION

TEXAS REVISED CIVIL STATUTES ANN.

PAGE

ARTICLE 5507..... 4.

ARTICLE 5509..... 4.

ARTICLE 5510..... 4.

ARTICLE 5513..... 4.

ARTICLE 5514..... 4.

ARTICLE 5515..... 4.

ARTICLE 5519..... 4.

"VENUE."

ARTICLE 1995..... 6.

DIVISION (9.)..... 6.

DIVISION (9.a.)..... 6.

SUBDIVISION 13..... 6.

FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE

PAGE

TITLE 28 SECTION 1331;.....4,5,6,8,12

TITLE 28 SECTION 1332;.....4,5,6,8,12

TITLE 28 SECTION 1343;.....4,5,11,13

TITLE 28 SECTION 1443;.....2,3,4,5,11,13

TITLE 28 SECTION 1446;..... 2,12.

TITLE 42 SECTION 1985;..... 7.

FEDERAL RULE 19;..... 5,6,8,12.

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

11th. AMENDMENT..... 11.

ARTICLE III SECTION 2. ..... 5,6.

72 STATUTES 415 U.S.C. ..... 5,6.

14 th. AMENDMENT..... 3,6,11,13.

NO.

"THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES."

BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX: PETITIONERS:

VS.

DR. DWIGHT DILL ET AL: RESPONDENTS:

"APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

THIS CASE WAS INSTITUTED BY DR. DWIGHT DILL VS. BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX: # 12,763 NOV. 28, 1968. "TRESSPASS TRY TITLE." THIS IS A CASE OF A CITIZEN OF ANOTHER COUNTRY, SUING A CITIZEN OF A STATE.

ROSEMARY WILLIAMS INSTITUTED A CASE VS. DR. DWIGHT DILL: CASE # 13,020: FEB. 20,1970: "MISREPRESENTATIONS AND FALSE PRETENSES." DR. DILL MADE A MOTION FOR CONSOLIDATION OF CAUSE 13,020 TO BE CONSOLIDATED WITH HIS CASE 12,763. TO WHICH ROSEMARY WILLIAMS, OBJECTED AND EXCEPTED THEIR WAS A HEARING OCT. 23,1970. THE LOWER TRIAL COURT OF WOOD COUNTY, TEXAS. AND THE JUDGE

ORDERED THE CONSOLIDATION. OF THE TWO CAUSES AS 12,763 (CONSOLIDATED) 13,020. DR. DWIGHT DILL ET AL: VS. BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX: THERE WERE SEVERAL CASES PENDING IN THE SAID COURT, TO THE SAME LAND, CASE NO's.12,881, 13,043, AND 13,049. THIS WAS TOTALLY WRONG AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL. "FUNDAMENTAL ERROR." PLAINTIFF DR. DWIGHT DILL, PART.

(1.) "DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP."
(2.) " CONTROVERSY OF COSTS."
(3.) "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES."

WAS MENTIONED IN THIS SAID COURT, AND EACH COURT THEREAFTER. THIS CONSOLIDATION WAS ENTERED OCT. 23,1970.

THIS CASE WAS APPEALED, TO THE COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS SIXTH SUPREME JUDICIAL DISTRICT, TEXARKANA, TEXAS. THESE HERETOFORE MOTIONS WERE MADE TO THE SAID COURT TO DISMISS, AND WERE OVERULED, MAY 28,1971. FROM THIS ORDER, THE PETITION FOR REMOVAL, WAS FILED MAY 25,1971. TITLE 28 SEC. 1446: WAS PROPERLY REMOVED, IF THIS CASE WAS NOT REMOVABLE. "IT IS A CASE THAT HAS BECOME REMOVABLE." TITLE 28 SEC. 1443: IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION. CASE NO. CA 3-3-4811-A. THIS CASE WAS REMANDED BY HONORABLE JUDGE JOE E. ESTES. JUNE 29,1971. THIS ORDER WAS APPEALED TO THE FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, NEW ORLEANS LOUISIANA. JULY 2,1971. THIS IS A CASE

OF THE PETITIONERS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BEING DENIED THEM, BY SOME STATE COURT OFFICIALS, TITLE 28 SEC. 1443: THIS IS A CASE OF CONSPIRACY, FRAUD, BRIBERY, AND FORGERY, AND INVOLVES STATE OFFICIALS. PETITIONERS TEXAS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, AND UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS HAVE BEEN DENIED THEM BY SOME STATE OFFICIALS. THIS IS STATE OFFICIALS, CONSPIRING, ILLEGALLY, TO ACQUIRE TITLE THROUGH "MISREPRESENTING THE LAND." DESCRIPTION, THIS VIOLATES THE RIGHTS OF PETITIONERS, AND IS BEING REPUGNANT TO THE 14 th. AMENDMENT U. S. C. A. AFFIDAVIT: STATING THAT THE DESCRITION OF THE LAND WAS DISGUISED AND THIS IS CONSPIRACY BY STATE OFFICIALS TO ACQUIRE THIS LAND OF PETITIONERS. THROUGH FRAUD 100 VARAS BY 840 VARAS, OF LAND. THIS TRACT OF 100 x 840 VARAS, IS CLAIMED BY FOUR PERSONS, DR. DILL, BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX: C. E. (CAP) NICHOLS, AND STATE SENATOR RALPH M. HALL.

STATE SENATOR RALPH M. HALL IS CLAIMING THIS LAND, WILLFULLY, WANTONLY, AND INTENTIONALLY THROUGH FRAUD. DEPRIVING THESE PETITIONERS OF THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. GURANTEED BY THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION AND THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. THIS IS BEING DISCRIMINATORY, AND VIOLATES THE 14 th. AMENDMENT U. S. C. A., AND THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION. "DUE PROCESS OF LAW." "EQUAL

PROTECTION CLAUSE." PROPERTY RIGHTS.

1. ARTICLE 5507; 3 YEARS POSSESSION
2. ARTICLE 5509; 5 YEARS POSSESSION.
3. ARTICLE 5510: 10 YEARS POSSESSION
4. ARTICLE 5513: TITLE BY POSSESSION
5. ARTICLE 5514: "PEACEABLE POSSESSION."
6. ARTICLE 5515: "ADVERSE POSSESSION."
7. ARTICLE 5519: ACTION BARRED IN 25 YEARS.

THIS DR. DILL IS A CITIZEN OF VAN COUVER, CANADA ROBERT P. DILL IS A CITIZEN OF LOS ANGELES, CALIF. AND COULD NOT BENEFIT, BY ANY OF THE SAID HERETOFORE STATUTES, OF THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION.

THESE TEXAS STATUTES, OR REPUGNANT TO THE 14th. AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

THIS COMES UNDER TITLE 28 SEC.1343: (1.) AND TITLE 28 SEC. 1443: CIVIL RIGHTS, THIS COMES UNDER FEDERAL JURISDICTION AND SHOULD HAVE BEEN TRIED IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WITH PROPER JURISDICTION. THIS IS STATE OFFICIALS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE.

THIS UNITED STATES COURT HAS JURISDICTION, TITLE 28 SECTIONS, 1331;, 1332;, 1443;, AND FEDERAL RULE 19: THESE RESPONDENTS ATTORNEYS FILED A FICTITIOUS PLEADING, TO INTENTIONALLY WANTONLY, AND WILFULLY, DEPRIVE THESE PETITIONERS OF THEIR PROPERTY RIGHTS.

"ALLEGATION OF JURISDICTION."

JURISDICTION FOUNDED ON "DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP." AND AMOUNT. PETITIONER IS A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS.

PETITIONERS PRINCIPAL PLACE OF RESIDENCE IS DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS. RESPONDENTS DR. DWIGHT DILL ET AL: IS A CITIZEN OF VAN COUVER, CANADA. ROBERT P. DILL IS A CITIZEN OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA. THE MATTER IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS, EXCLUSIVE OF INTEREST AND COSTS, THE SUM OF TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS, AND EXCEEDS THE AMOUNT TO BE A (FEDERAL QUESTION INVOLVED, AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY.) EXCEEDS THE AMOUNT OF A CASE TO BE TRIED IN STATE COURT, THIS COMES UNDER FEDERAL JURISDICTION TITLE 28 SEC. 1331; U.S.C.A.

JURISDICTION FOUNDED ON THE EXISTENCE OF A FEDERAL QUESTION, AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY: "DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP." AND "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES." TITLE 28 SCETIONS. 1331:,1332:,1343:,1443; AND RULE 19; F.R.C.P.

THIS ACTION ARISES UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, ARTICLE III: SECTION 2. THE 11 th. AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, 72. STAT. 415: U.S.C., TITLE 28 SECTION 1332;

THE MATTER IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS, EXCLUSIVE

OF INTEREST AND COSTS, THE SUM OF TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS. EXCEEDS $10,000 (DOLLARS)

JURISDICTION FOUNDED ON THE EXISTENCE OF A QUESTION ARISING UNDER PARTICULAR STATUTES, THE ACTION ARISES UNDER 72 STATUTES 415 U.S.C.

THIS IS A CASE THE FEDERAL COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER, FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION 1331; SEC. 1332; AND RULE 19: F.R.C.P. ALSO THIS IS A CASE THAT HAS BECOME REMOVABLE UNDER TITLE 28 SEC. 1443; (CIVIL RIGHTS.) AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS $10,000. "DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP." "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES."

THIS IS A CITIZEN OF ONE STATE SUING A CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE. WHICH THIS INVOLVES A FEDERAL QUESTION OF FEDERAL JURISDICTION, AND SHOULD BE REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL COURT.

IF NEED BE. FOR FURTHER DETERMINATION OF THE FEDERAL QUESTION INVOLVED, THE COURT OF WOOD COUNTY, TEXAS. WAS WITHOUT PROPER JURISDICTION.

"POINTS OF ERROR."

TEXAS REVISED CIVIL STATUTES ANN. ARTICLE 1995; "VENUE." DIVISION (9.) (9a.) AND SUBDIVISION(13) THIS TEXAS CONSTITUTION DOES NOT APPRISE THE COURT OF WOOD COUNTY, TEXAS. JURISDICTION OF PERSONS OR MATTER. THIS IS REPUGNANT TO THE 14th. AMENDMENT, "DUE PROCESS OF LAW." "EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE." FOR THIS COURT TO TRY THE CASE WITHOUT

JURISDICTION. PLEA OF PRIVILEDGE WAS FILED MAY 8,1970. OVERULED MAY 25,1970. WITHOUT A HEARING, PLAINTIFF'S 12,763 FILED A CONTROVERTING PLEA, AND SERVED DEFENDANTS JULY 24,1970. JUDGE SET A HEARING FOR AUG. 5,1971. THE PLAINTIFF'S NOR THEIR ATTORNEYS, APPEARED FOR HEARING, NOR DID THEY FILE A MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE. DEFENDANTS FILED PLEA OF PRIVILEDGE TO TRANSFER TO THE DOMICLE OF DEFENDANTS. DALLAS COUNTY; TEXAS. THE JUDGE HAD ALREADY OVERULED THE PLEA OF PRIVILEDGE WHEN HE SET A HEARING FOR THE CONTROVERTING PLEA, HE WAS ADVISED OF THE FACT BY THE DEFENDANT, ROSEMARY WILLIAMS.

"THIS IS ERROR APPARENT OF THE RECORD, THAT THE. THAT THE REGISTERED SURVEYOR WITH THE STATE OF TEXAS, MADE TWO SURVEYS FOR DR. DWIGHT DILL ET AL: AND THE TWO SURVEYS, DO NOT CORRESPOND, HE HAS DISGUISED THE SURVEYS, AND TESTIFIED AS A WITNESS TO INCORRECT SURVEY, SO DR. DILL COULD CLAIM THIS LAND AND THIS IS CONSPIRACY, STATE OFFICIALS. OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE, TO ILLEGALLY ACQUIRE TITLE TO THE LAND OF PETITIONERS. THIS VIOLATES THE CONSPIRACY, TITLE 42 SEC. 1985; THIS VIOLATES THE PETITIONERS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, INVOLVES A CHARGE OF THE "SHERMAN ACT CONSPIRACY."

THE BASIS OF FEDERAL JURISDICTION:

(1.) "DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP." TITLE 28 SEC. 1332;

(2.) "CONTROVERSY OF COSTS," TITLE 28 SEC. 1331;

(3.) "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES." FEDERAL RULE 19;

ARGUMENT

CHIEF JUSTICE PARSONS,

BISSELL VS. BRIGGS

9 MASS. 462 AT PAGE 468

CIVIL PROCEDURE

"IN ORDER TO ENTITLE THE JUDGEMENT RENDERED IN ANY COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, TO THE FULL FAITH AND CREDIT MENTIONED IN THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, THE COURT MUST HAVE JURISDICTION NOT ONLY OF THE CAUSE, BUT OF THE PARTIES."

"INDISPENSABLE PARTIES."

"A DECREE SO AFFECTING THE INTERESTS OF PERSONS NOT JOINED AS PARTIES IS IMPROPER."

AS WAS SAID:

STEWART VS. UNITED STATES

5 th. CIR. 1957, 242, F.2D. 49, 51.

"NO DECREE CAN BE ENTERED AFFECTING THE TITLE TO PROPERTY OR CANCELLING ANY CLOUD THEREIN UNLESS ALL OF THE PARTIES INTERESTED IN THE TITLE OR IN THE PARTICULAR CLOUD AND WHO WILL BE DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY ANY JUDGEMENT THAT MAY

BE RENDERED ARE PROPERLY BEFORE THE COURT." THIS RULING WAS MADE BY THE FIFTH CIRCUIT OF APPEALS NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA. THIS IS VIOLATING THE RIGHTS OF PETITIONERS, TO AFFIRM THE JUDGEMENT OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION.

TO REMAND THIS BACK TO A STATE COURT THAT IS WITHOUT PROPER JURISDICTION, OF THE PERSONS OR MATTER. "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES." THIS STATE COURT DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION OF THE "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES."

3 MOORE, FEDERAL PRACTICE
(2 D. ED. 1948) ¶ 19.05 RULE 12 (H.)

"FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, PROVIDES THAT THE DEFENSE OF FAILURE TO JOIN AN "INDISPENSABLE PARTY," IS NEVER WAIVED.

AS STATED IN:

BROWN VS. CHRISTMAN

SUPRA, 126, F. 2 D. AT PAGE 632.

"IF THEY ARE "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES." IT IS OUR DUTY TO PROTECT THEIR INTERESTS ON THIS APPEAL, EVEN THOUGH THE QUESTION WAS NOT RAISED IN THE DISTRICT COURT: AND IT WILL BE THE DUTY OF THE DISTRICT COURT TO PROTECT THEM.

IN ANY FURTHER PROCEEDINGS THERE."

AS STATED IN:

STATE OF WASHINGTON VS. UNITED STATES,

SUPRA, 87 F. 2 D. AT PAGE 427.

QUOTING FROM:

SHIELDS V. BARROW,

1855, 17 HOW. 129,141,

58 U.S. 129,130,15 L. ED. 158,

WHICH IN TURN QUOTED FROM:

MALLOW V. HINDE 1827,

12 WHEAT. 193,198, 25 U.S.

193, 6 D. ED. 599

IS PREDICATED:

"ON THE GROUND THAT NO COURT CAN ADJUDICATE DIRECTLY UPON A PERSONS RIGHT, WITHOUT THE PARTY BEING EITHER ACTUALLY OR CONSTRUCTIVELY BEFORE THE COURT."

THEIR ARE SEVERAL PEOPLE CLAIMING THIS LAND UNDER THE STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS, THAT WERE NOT BEFORE THE COURT TO CLEAR THERE TITLE IF ANY, AND THIS PUTS A CLOUD ON THE TITLE FOR THE JUDGEMENT, OF WRIT OF POSSESSION. THAT WAS ENTERED AGAINST THESE PETITIONERS. IN THE SAID COURT, 115 th JUDICIAL COURT, OF

WOOD COUNTY, TEXAS. THAT WAS WITHOUT JURISDICTION OF THE PERSONS.

THIS SUIT WAS INSTITUTED AS FOLLOWS:

DR. DWIGHT DILL PLAINTIFF'S

VS.

BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX: DEFENDANTS.

THIS WAS APPEALED AS FOLLOWS:

BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX: PETITIONERS

VS.

DR. DWIGHT DILL ET AL: RESPONDENTS

THIS DR. DILL INSTITUTED THE TRESSPASS TRY TITLE, SUIT AGAINST THE PETITIONERS, BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX: AS A FICTITIOUS PLEADING. THIS IS VIOLATING THE PETITIONERS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO ILLEGALLY, AND CONSPIRING TO DEFRAUD THESE PETITIONERS OF THEIR PROPERTY RIGHTS, THIS IS BEING DISCRIMINATORY TO THE 14 th. AND 11 TH. AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION. "DUE PROCESS OF LAW." "EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE." AND VIOLATES THEIR PROPERTY RIGHTS. AND VIOLATES THE RIGHTS IF ANY OF THE "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES." THIS VIOLATES THE CIVIL RIGHTS OF PETITIONERS TITLE 28 SECTION 1443: AND TITLE 28 SEC. 1343:

IF THIS CASE WAS NOT REMOVABLE, "IT IS A CASE THAT HAS BECOME REMOVABLE." TITLE 28 SEC. 1446:

(1.) "DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP."

TITLE 28 SECTION 1332:

(2.) "CONTROVERSY OF COSTS." EXCEEDS $10,000.

TITLE 28 SCETION 1331:

(3.) "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES."

FEDERAL RULE 19:

THESE PETITIONERS BILLY BOB VILLIAMS ET UX: OR HEIRS TO G.R. VILLIAMS AND ZULA VILLIAMS. THIS UNDIVIDED 300 ACRES OF LAND WAS SOLD AND CONVEYED TO G.R. VILLIAMS AND A.L. NOREN, BY L.H. SCRAPE AND WIFE MRS. L.H. SCRAPE. DATED DEC. 17,1936, FILED FEB. 17,1937. IN THE DEED RECORDS OF WOOD COUNTY, TEXAS. VOL. 157 PAGE 500 THIS CASE BY ALL MEANS SHOULD BE REMOVED TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WITH PROPER JURISDICTION, OF ALL PARTIES, "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES." CLAIMING ANY TITLE TO THIS SAID LAND. THIS IS WRONG TO TRY THIS CASE AND THE RESPONDENTS OR NOT CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, THIS SAID JUDGMENT PUTS A CLOUD ON THE TITLE, AND THE STATE COURT IS WITHOUT JURISDICTION TO DO SO. THIS IS STATE OFFICIALS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE.

C O N C L U S I O N

FOR THE REASONS STATED HEREIN, THE JUDGEMENT OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS, FIFTH CIRCUIT, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA. IS CLEARLY WRONG AND IN CONTRADICTION OF THE HERETOFORE CASES, CITED BY THIS SAID COURT OF APPEALS, FIFTH CIRCUIT, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA. AND IS REPUGNANT TO THE 14 th. AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION. THIS CASE SHOULD HAVE NEVER BEEN REMANDED TO THE STATE COURT, THIS SAID COURT HAD SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE BEFORE THEM TO PROVE THERE WAS A CONSPIRACY OF STATE OFFICIALS. FRAUD, BRIBERY AND STATE OFFICIALS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE. THERE WAS ERROR APPARENT OF RECORD, THAT THERE WERE INDISPENSABLE PARTIES. THIS IS "ILLEGALLY" AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY CONSPIRED, BY STATE OFFICIALS.

WHEREFORE , YOUR PETITIONERS, PRAY, THAT THE APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF ERROR BE GRANTED. THAT THE CIVIL RIGHTS OF PETITIONERS HAVE BEEN DENIED THEM TITLE 28 SEC. 1443: AND SEC. 1343: (1.) OR IN THE ALTERNATE THAT THIS CASE BE DISMISSED UNLESS ALL "INDISPENSABLE PARTIES." OR BEFORE THE COURT. THAT THIS IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL TO BE TRIED IN THE STATE COURT, WITH UNNECESSARY EXPENSE. THIS IS REPUGNANT TO THE 14 th AMENDMENT. "DUE PROCESS OF LAW." "EQUAL PRTECTION CLAUSE."

PETITIONERS, FURTHER PRAYS, THAT THERE IS ERROR APPARENT OF RECORD, FUNDAMENTAL ERROR, HARMFULL ERROR, AND REVERSIABLE ERROR. THIS INVOLVES A CHARGE OF THE "SHERMAN ACT CONSPIRACY." AND AND THAT THIS SAID TRESSPASS TRY TITLE SUIT INSTITUTED, UNDER A FICTITIOUS PLEADING BE IN ALL THINGS DISMISSED, THIS WAS "ILLEGALLY," AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY CONSPIRED.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Rosemary Williams

ROSEMARY WILLIAMS
1608 GARDENSIDE DR.
DALLAS, TEXAS 75217

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT SERVICE OF THIS APPLICATION OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI, HAS BEEN MADE ON OPPOSING ATTORNEY, ON THIS 10th DAY OF DECEMBER, 1971., IN AN ENVELOPE, CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED, WITH POSTAGE PREPAID, PROPERLY ADDRESSED TO THE ATTORNEYS, AS FOLLOWS:

M. D. CARLOCK
P.O. BOX 151
WINNSBORO, TEXAS

JOHN M. SMITH
P.O. DRAWER 2078
LONGVIEW, TEXAS

Rosemary Williams

ROSEMARY WILLIAMS

A F F I D A V I T

STATE OF TEXAS:
COUNTY OF DALLAS: } KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

MY NAME IS ROSEMARY WILLIAMS, AND I AM THE COUNSEL FOR BILLY BOB WILLIAMS ET UX:

I HEREBY STATE AND KNOW TO MY OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT STATE SENATOR RALPH M. HALL, DR. DWIGHT DILL ET AL: AND C.E. (CAP) NICHOLS ARE CLAIMING THIS LAND, 100 VARAS by 840 VARAS, THROUGH A CONSPIRACY TO DEPRIVE THESE PETITIONERS OF THEIR PROPERTY RIGHTS, AND TITLE TO THEIR LAND. THIS IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL, AND REPUGNANT TO THE 14 th. AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION.

I KNOW TO MY OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT THE FLY LEAF COPIES OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE LAND WILL PROVE THERE IS A CONSPIRACY, ONE MAP STATES 295 VARAS NORTH, AND ONE MAP STATES 395 VARAS, 'NORTH'.

I KNOW TO MY OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT THE PATENT TO THE LAND STATES TO BE 395 VARAS NORTH.

I KNOW TO MY OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT THE REGISTERED SURVEYOR FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS, WHO IS BONDED WITH THE STATE OF TEXAS. MR. W. A. MORRISSON JR. MADE BOTH MAPS, AND STATED AND CERTIFIED THAT EACH ONE WAS CORRECT, 295 VARAS NORTH, AND 395 VARAS NORTH. BOTH MAPS COULD NOT BE CORRECT,

THIS IS A CONSPIRACY, WHEN THIS STATE SURVEYOR, SURVEYED THE LAND IN QUESTION FOR STATE SENATOR RALPH M. HALL, DR. DWIGHT DILL ET AL: AND C.E. (CAP) NICHOLS.

I KNOW TO MY OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT THIS INVOLVES A CONSPIRACY, WHEN THE SURVEYOR SHOULD BE VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE RECORDS TO THIS LAND, AND BY ALL MEANS SHOULD TAKE SPECIAL CARE TO SURVEY THE LAND, TRUE AND CORRECT.

I KNOW TO MY OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT STATE SENATOR RALPH M. HALL, AND THE REGISTERED SURVEYOR FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS MR. W.A. MORRISSON JR. OR STATE OFFICIALS INVOLVED IN A CONSPIRACY, TO DEFRAUD THESE PETITIONERS OF THEIR PROPERTY, "ILLEGALLY" AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY CONSPIRED. UNDER TITLE 42 SECTION 1985;

I KNOW TO MY OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT THE SURVEYOR, HAS RECORDED IN THE DEED RECORDS OF WOOD COUNTY, TEXAS. TWO SURVEYS (1 CORRECT) (1 INCORRECT)

I KNOW TO MY OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THERE ARE OTHER CASES PENDING IN THE SAME COURT, TO THE SAME TITLE TO THE SAME LAND. THIS IS "ILLEGALLY" AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY CONSPIRED, TO DEPRIVE THE PETITIONERS OF THERE LAND. TITLE 28 SEC. 1343;(1.) THROUGH FRAUD.

Rosemary Williams

ROSEMARY WILLIAMS

1608 GARDENSIDE DR.

DALLAS, TEXAS 75217

WITNESS MY HAND AT DALLAS, TEXAS THIS 9th DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1971.,

S./ Rosemary Williams

ROSEMARY WILLIAMS

STATE OF TEXAS:

COUNTY OF DALLAS:

SUBCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME A NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR DALLAS, COUNTY, TEXAS. STATE OF TEXAS. THIS 9th DAY OF NOVEMBER A.,D., 1971.

SEAL

S.

JACK E. FOSTER

NOTARY PUBLIC, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

DATE: 26 December 1968 SURVEY PLAT SCALE: 1" = 20'
JOB NO: 68-585

TO ALL PARTIES INTERESTED IN PREMISES

This is to certify that the bearings and distances shown on this plat are the same as those shown on the plat titled "Working Sketch of Surveys in Wood County", produced by the General Land Office, Jerry Sadler-Commissioner, Austin, Texas. dated 7 November 1968. These calls are from the original land grants per State of Texas Records. For the Samuel Houston Survey, Abstract No.270, Wood County, Texas.

- 4 - (ADDENDUM)



H. LOU. HORNE, JR. P.E.
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL CIVIL ENGINEER

H. LOU HORNE
15133

INCORRECT.
THE CIRCLE STATES 295 VRS.





THE STATE OF TEXAS:
COUNTY OF WOOD

ATTACHED PLAT MADE FOR EMORY DWIGHT DILL ROBERT PETER DILL AND BETTY CAROTHERS DILL, THE LAND SHOWN HEREON BEING THE LAND DEEDED TO DWIGHT DILL BY W. L. NIX ON THE 30TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1936, DEED BEING OF RECORD IN VOLUME 157 PAGE 297 DEED RECORDS OF WOOD COUNTY, TEXAS.

PLAT PREPARED FROM ORIGINAL CALLS OF SAM HOUSTON SURVEY AND CALLS IN ABOVE MENTIONED DEED, SABINE RIVER TAKEN FROM U. S. AERIAL MAP.

THE TRACT OF LAND SHOWN HEREON CONTAINING 150 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

PLAT PREPARED BY W. A. MORRISON JR. REGISTERED PUBLIC SURVEYOR FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS.

THIS 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1957.

s/ W. A. Morrison, Jr.
Registered Public Surveyor for the State of Texas

Filed for Record
At 9:40 o'clock A M

Oct. 7, 1957
A. L. Calhoun, County Cle
Wood County, Texas